
-----Original Message-----

From: Chan, Grace L [mailto:gchan@mwdh2o.com]

Sent: Thursday, September 05, 2002 12:19 PM

To: 'gns@dcn.davis.ca.us'; Guivetchi, Kamyar

Subject: Study plans

Importance: High

Another variation of the study plans.

The AC debated a lot on the number of study plans and what they constitute at the Aug 29 meeting. Summarizing the discussion is the need for the breadth and depth of analysis. Some prefer the depth in choosing less scenarios and looking at more responses, others prefer the breadth of looking at more scenarios.

I talked to you briefly about a hybrid approach on Aug 29, here is the proposal:

Part one:

4 Scenarios, an average Hydrology, 1 response for each scenario (the response package can be same or different depending on the need of the Scenario, the assumption is all needs addressed to the extent practicable, shortages will be recognized as a last resort.)

Number of study plan = 44

Rationale: this part offers the breath of how alternate futures may look and their possible required responses.

Part two:

1 scenario, 2 hydrologies (average and critically dry), and 3 responses.

Number of

study plan = 55 (66 total - 11 done in part one)

Rationale: this part offers the depth in that same scenario could have various responses depending on the "value" system

Here we have a total of 99 study plans, which might be a slight reduction in the work. The AC can also be focused on what appropriate response packages are out there instead of spending time on whether we should have 1 or 4 scenarios, or something in between.

Feel free to share this, or an improvement of this idea, with the rest of the AC.

> Grace

>

>

> _____
> Grace L. Chan

> Metropolitan Water District of Southern California

> Water Resource Management Group

> Manager, Resource Planning & Development Section

> Phone: (213) 217- 6798

> Fax: (213) 217- 6119

>